

EXHIBIT A

Structured Securities Valuation Methodologies

This exhibit sets forth the Structured Securities Valuation Methodologies that are applicable to all of the Structured Securities. The Structured Securities Valuation Methodology provides that, in the aggregate, the value of Structured Securities issued by (i) LBT is \$30,172,529,265, (ii) LBSN is \$964,238,804, (iii) LBHI is \$5,480,948,872, and (iv) certain other, smaller issuers such as Bankhaus, LBXP, and LBF is, in the aggregate, \$346,314,486. The Allowed Claim amounts for each specific security are set forth on www.lehman-docket.com.

1. Categorization

In connection with evaluating the Structured Securities Claims, LBHI reviewed approximately 5,000 securities issuances. Based on the terms and characteristics of each of the Structured Securities, LBHI separated the Structured Securities into the three categories described below.

a. Par Par Notes

“Par Par Notes” are either (A) notes for which the terms of the contract provide for either (i) redemption at par at maturity (whether or not described as being “principal protected”) and that pay non-contingent periodic interest or (ii) redemption at par, no payments of interest and do not reflect any accrual yield; or (B) FMV Notes (as defined below) that are described as at least 90% principal protected. Notes that redeem at par may indicate so on their face or may indicate a redemption at par by a formula which calculates to a minimum of par. If there are any factors that may result in a holder of a note receiving less than par for a note upon maturity, the note is not classified as a Par Par Note. This analysis is made without any regard to the probability of redemption in an amount less than par.¹

b. Zero Coupon Notes

“Zero Coupon Notes” are notes that do not bear interest and were issued at a significant discount to their face amount (and such discount was not solely attributable to distribution fees paid to dealers). These notes specify an accrual yield in their terms and do not pay interest pursuant to their terms.

c. Fair Market Value Notes

Fair Market Value Notes (“FMV Notes”) are notes that cannot be included in either of the categories set forth in the two preceding paragraphs. Certain of the FMV Notes provide that a portion (less than 90%) of the final redemption amount is “protected” but interest is fully contingent, while others provide that the final redemption amount is fully contingent. In

¹ The Allowed Claim amounts for the Par Par Notes set forth on www.lehman-docket.com may not include all accrued and unpaid interest as of the Commencement Date. Such amounts may change in the future to include such accrued and unpaid interest.

classifying the FMV Notes, no consideration has been given to probabilities of contingencies occurring. The mere fact that the note is linked to the performance of an Underlying is enough to classify the instrument as a FMV Note. FMV Notes include, without limitation, (a) notes that redeem at par but pay contingent interest, (b) notes that are not redeemable at par and pay simple interest, (c) notes that are not redeemable at par and pay contingent interest and (d) notes that are not redeemable at par and pay no interest at all or pay simple interest for a time converting to contingent interest. The examples above are not intended to be an exhaustive list of the types of notes that are categorized as FMV Notes.

2. Lehman's Accounting Treatment of Structured Securities Prior to the Commencement Date

The Structured Securities Valuation Methodology takes into account Lehman's firm-wide accounting treatment of Structured Securities prior to the Commencement Date and applies the overlay of the Bankruptcy Code rules for determining the appropriate amount of Claims. Prior to the Commencement Date, Lehman's balance sheet included as a liability the Outstanding Notional Amount of Structured Securities, as adjusted to take into account (i) the fair value of such securities including the derivative portion (the "FV") and (ii) Lehman's credit risk; provided that with respect to the LBHI Structured Notes, after August 31, 2008, Lehman's balance sheet did not take into account Lehman's credit risk.

The fair value adjustment (the "FVA") is based on the value of Lehman's offsetting derivative hedge trade. In order to hedge exposure to the Structured Securities, certain of the Structured Securities Issuers entered into derivative contracts with other Lehman entities. The mark-to-market value of the derivative hedge was calculated as of the end of the day on September 12, 2008, based upon various Lehman internal derivative models in accordance with the methodology used on previous audited financials. Because interest continued to accrue over the weekend, the September 14, 2008 mark-to-market valuations represent mark-to-market valuations as of September 12, 2008 plus accrued interest on such valuations through the end of the day on September 14, 2008.

3. The Structured Securities Valuation Methodology

a. General Principles for Valuing Structured Securities Claims Based on Bankruptcy Code

The following general principles apply to the Structured Securities Methodology:

(i) A claim that arises from the Guarantee of an obligation is generally treated no differently under section 502 of the Bankruptcy Code than a direct claim arising from the same obligation would be treated under the Code.

(ii) Under section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Court must determine the amount of a claim as of the Commencement Date. Consequently, interest stops accruing (for Par Par Notes and FMV Notes that bear interest) and stops accreting (for Zero Coupon Notes) as of the Commencement Date.

(iii) Acceleration of the Structured Securities is not discussed herein because based on the information available there were no Structured Securities accelerated as of the Commencement Date. Any attempted acceleration after the Commencement Date is disregarded because a creditor may not accelerate debt post petition without first seeking relief from the automatic stay. *See, e.g., In re PCH Assocs.*, 122 B.R. 181, 198 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1990) (“A post-filing acceleration of the Note[] would clearly have violated the automatic stay of section 362 of the Code and, therefore, would have been null and void.”); *In re Texaco Inc.*, 73 B.R. 960, 967 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1987) (holding that acceleration of the debt under the contract’s terms was proscribed by the automatic stay); *In re Manville Forest Prods. Corp.*, 43 B.R. 293, 298 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1984) (explaining that “tak[ing] overt steps to accelerate the debt without first seeking a modification of the stay ... would have violated the stay.”), *aff’d in part*, 60 B.R. 403 (S.D.N.Y. 1986). As a result, acceleration notices received by LBHI after the Commencement Date in violation of the automatic stay are void and have no effect on claims amounts. *See Eastern Refractories Co. Inc. v. Forty Eight Insulations Inc.*, 157 F.3d 169, 172 (2d Cir. 1998) (“any proceedings or actions [that violate the automatic stay] are void and without vitality if they occur after the automatic stay takes effect.”).

(iv) A credit value adjustment (“CVA”) using LBHI’s credit spread as of the Commencement Date is not included for the purpose of determining an Allowed Claim under section 502 of the Bankruptcy Code. Accordingly, LBHI’s method of determining Structured Securities Claims will add-back the reduction in the Structured Securities liabilities set forth on Lehman’s books and records that recognized the credit spread as of the Commencement Date (the “CVA Add-Back”). In addition, for the reasons set forth below, in determining Allowed Claims for Structured Securities the FVA should only apply to FMV Notes. Accordingly, for all categories of Structured Securities *except* FMV Notes, any discount taken on account of the FVA is added back (the “FVA Add-Back”).

b. Application of the General Principles to Structured Securities

(i) Par Par Notes

With respect to Par Par Notes, Allowed Claims will be equal to the sum of the principal amount *plus* any matured interest (whether or not such interest is contingent) as of the Commencement Date, regardless of principal protection. To the extent that interest is unmatured as of the Commencement Date, it is disallowed. The Allowed Claim amount includes a CVA Add-Back and an FVA Add-Back that adjusts the fair market value of the note to the original notional of the outstanding issue.

(ii) Zero Coupon

With respect to Zero Coupon Notes, Allowed Claims will be equal to the sum of the issue price *plus* any accreted interest as of the Commencement Date. The Allowed Claim amount includes a CVA Add-Back and an FVA Add-Back calculated based on the difference between the fair market value and the original issuance yield to adjust the value of the note back to the original issuance yield.

(iii) FMV Notes

With respect to the FMV Notes, if a Claim is contingent or unliquidated, the Claim is estimated under section 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code using “whatever method is best suited to the particular contingencies at issue.” *See Bittner v. Borne Chemical Co., Inc.*, 691 F.2d 134, 135 (3d Cir. 1982). The method of estimation must be (1) suited to the circumstances and (2) accommodate the underlying purposes of the Bankruptcy Code. *See id.* The point is to recognize what the creditor bargained for, while avoiding a windfall to any party.

The FMV Notes present unique circumstances and challenges due to the diversity and complexity of their structures, the number of issuers and consequent intercompany relationships they entail, and the numerosity and dispersion of investors. Estimating the value of a FMV Note by the replacement cost of the lost investment (*i.e.*, its fair market value) as of the Commencement Date achieves these objectives, is economically realistic, promotes certainty, and eases the administration of the estate, because it follows LBHI’s pre-petition internal accounting methodology.

Prior to the Commencement Date, Lehman’s fair market value determination inherently incorporated the concept of discounting expected cash flows back to the Commencement Date using a market rate plus LBHI’s credit spread. For purposes of the Structured Securities Methodology, however, a CVA Add-Back (but no FVA Add-Back) is applied in order to eliminate the discount for LBHI’s credit spread as of the Commencement Date.

**c. Application of the Structured Securities Valuation
Methodology to the Structured Securities**

Based upon the Structured Securities Methodology, the Allowed Claims for each category of Structured Securities have been calculated and are included below. In addition, the proposed valuation for each Structured Security issued by LBHI, LBT, and LBSN, listed by ISIN, is set forth on www.lehman-docket.com (the proposed ISIN by ISIN valuation for each Structured Security issued by LXSP, LBB and LBF will also be set forth on www.lehman-docket.com in due course).

LBT Allowed Claims Summary

Valuation Category	# of ISINs	% of LBT Total Notional	A FV with CVA	B CVA Add-Back	C FVA Add-Back	A + B + C ≤ D Allowed Claims (see Note 1)
FAIR MARKET VALUE NOTES	2,192	36%	\$8,120,941,312	\$834,000,998	\$0	\$9,016,634,081
PAR PAR NOTES	1,589	60%	17,260,673,745	1,888,576,795	899,898,465	20,048,550,005
ZERO COUPON NOTES	42	4%	784,033,099	182,044,408	43,325,413	1,009,402,920
To Be Determined (see Note 2)	20	0%	84,994,029	3,151,117	9,797,114	97,942,260
LBT Totals	3,843	100%	\$26,250,042,185	\$2,907,773,318	\$953,021,992	\$30,172,529,265

Notes:

1. The Allowed Claims amount by ISIN is floored at \$0, therefore A + B + C may be less than or equal to D, except for Par Par notes which are limited to Par. The maximum allowed claim amounts for the Par Par notes may not include all accrued and unpaid interest as of the Commencement Date. Such amounts may change in the future to include such accrued and unpaid interest.
2. The classification of To Be Determined (TBD) refers to those issuances for which there is insufficient data in order to properly review and categorize. An FVA Add Back is applied to these issuances on the assumption that all TBDs are Par Par notes.

LBHI Structured Debt Allowed Claims Summary

Valuation Category	# of ISINs	% of LBHI Structured Debt Total Notional	A FV with CVA	B CVA Add-Back	C FVA Add-Back	D Accrued Interest	A + B + C + D ≤ E Allowed Claims (see Note 1)
FAIR MARKET VALUE NOTES	213	26%	\$1,145,126,151	\$55,514,736	\$0	\$8,647,242	\$1,209,993,155
PAR PAR NOTES (see Note 2)	343	62%	3,240,463,704	415,831,282	(112,235,494)	22,050,782	3,566,110,274
To Be Determined (see Note 3)	44	12%	681,750,051	26,419,805	(9,917,815)	6,593,402	704,845,443
LBHI Structured Debt Totals	600	100%	\$5,067,339,906	\$497,765,823	(\$122,153,309)	\$37,291,426	\$5,480,948,872

Notes:

1. The Allowed Claims amount by ISIN is floored at \$0, therefore A + B + C + D may be less than or equal to E, except for Par Par notes which are limited to Par. The maximum allowed claim amounts for the Par Par notes may not include all accrued and unpaid interest as of the Commencement Date. Such amounts may change in the future to include such accrued and unpaid interest.
2. The aggregate FVA Add-Back is negative for LBHI Structured Debt because as of LBHI's Commencement Date, certain FMV Notes that are at least 90% principal protected, and are therefore categorized as Par Par Notes, had a fair market value that exceeded par.
3. The classification of To Be Determined (TBD) refers to those issuances for which there is insufficient data in order to properly review and categorize. An FVA Add Back is applied to these issuances on the assumption that all TBDs are Par Par notes.

LBSN Allowed Claims Summary

Valuation Category	# of ISINs	Allowed Claims
FAIR MARKET VALUE CERTIFICATES AND WARRANTS	292	\$888,570,526
PAR PAR CERTIFICATES	2	2,950,980
To Be Determined (see Note 1)	22	72,717,298
LBSN Totals	316	\$964,238,804

Notes:

1. The classification of To Be Determined (TBD) refers to those issuances for which there is insufficient data in order to properly review and categorize.